

# ESTIMATION POWER OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENT, MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND GENERALIZED LEAST SQUARES OF STOCK RETURNS

Ijomah, Maxwell Azubuike and Ibenezim, Samson Ikechukwu

Dept. of Maths/Statistics  
University of Port Harcourt, NIGERIA

## ABSTRACT

This paper seeks to study comparison of three estimation methods applied in Factor Analysis which includes Principal Component Analysis, Maximum Likelihood and the Generalized or Weighted Least Squares estimation methods using data collected from stock exchange. Our result shows that three estimation methods gave the same number of underlying factors i.e. each method extracted six (6) factors. However, the Maximum likelihood estimate appears to be the best method judging by their percentage of variance explained by the six extracted factors and the residual matrices.

## INTRODUCTION

Factor analysis is a statistical data reduction that is used to explain variability among observed random variables in terms of fewer unobserved random variables called factors. The multiple factor analysis model has the form

$$X = A\eta + \varsigma \quad (1)$$

where  $X$  is  $p \times 1$  vector with population covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ ,  $\eta$  is the factor vector with unknown dimensions.  $\varsigma$  is the error vector with elements  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p$ ,  $A$  is the vector loading matrix, moreover

$$\eta\varsigma = 0 \quad (2)$$

By computing the covariance of  $X$  in (1), we obtain

$$\Sigma = ALA^1 + \mu^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $L = \eta\eta^1$  and  $\mu^2 = CC^1$  are diagonal matrix.  $X$  is the observed vector and factor analysis assumes that  $X$  is the observable realization of unobservable or latent factors indicated by  $\eta$ .

The existence of internal attributes, or factors, is the central assertion in factor analytic theory (Tucker & MacCallum, 1997). While these factors are not directly observable, much of the variation in the phenomena that researchers witness and measure is attributable to these underlying traits (Bartholomew, 1984; Cureton & D'Agostino, 1983; Stevens, 2002). Moreover, factor analytic theory asserts that these hypothetical, internal attributes are more "fundamental" than the surface attributes which we observe (Tucker & MacCallum, 1997; Coughlin, 2013).

Factor analysis involves three steps. The first is to choose a factor extraction method. Such methods includes principal component analysis, unweighted least squares, generalized least squares, maximum likelihood, etc. Information on relative strengths and weakness of these

techniques is scarce. To examine the relative strengths of these competing perspectives concerning formative measurement models and their associated constructs, this research was carried. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents related literature. Section 3 contains the data. The methodology and empirical results are discussed in section 4. Result is provided in Section 5 while section 6 contains the conclusion.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Diana (2010) identified the effect of using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) and the Diagonally Weighted Least Squares (DWLS) procedures applied to stimulated sets of data, which have different distributions and include variables that can take different numbers of possible values. The five data- sets used in the study were artificially generated and have a sample size of 500 cases, which meets the requirement of 5-20 cases per parameter estimate. One data -set represents the ideal situation of having a perfectly normal distribution and continuous variables. The other four data sets consist of ordinal variable. Results were also compared to the ideal situation of a data set consisting of continuous, normally distributed variables. The outcomes of the study indicated that Maximum Likelihood (ML) provides accurate results when data are continuous and uniformly distributed, but is not as precise with ordinal data that is not treated as continuous, especially when variables have a smaller number of categories and data do not meet the assumption of multivariate normality. In contrast, the Diagonally Weighted Least Squares (DWLS) provides more accurate parameter estimates, and a model fit that is more robust to variable type and non-normality. Although, the results presented support the effectiveness of the Diagonally Weighted Least Squares estimation method with ordinal multivariate non-normal data, they are based on testing only one model.

Olisah (2006) compared principal components analysis and maximum likelihood methods using weekly stock prices of twelve (12) selected stocks four (4) sectors of the Nigerian Stock Exchange from year 2012- 2014 comprising of 150 weeks. Results show that for the two solution methods, three factors were estimated. The cumulative proportion of total sample variance explained by the factors is larger for principal component factoring than for maximum likelihood factoring. The elements of the residual matrix of the maximum likelihood method were smaller than those of the residual matrix corresponding to the principal component method.

## Data

The data for this research work is a secondary data collected from recorded daily official list of the Nigerian Stock Exchange in Port Harcourt, Rivers state. These data were daily opening and closing prices of quoted companies or stocks in the Stock Exchange. Thus, the monthly rate of stock returns were calculated which is given below as:

$$\text{Monthly rate of stock returns} = \frac{\text{current month closing price} - \text{previous month closing price}}{\text{previous month closing price}}$$

## Estimation method

This research work was analyzed with the help of statistical packages; IBM SPSS (version 20) and Micro-Excel (version 2007). According to Morrison (1988), factor analysis aims to describe the covariance relationship among many variables in terms of a few underlying, but unobservable random quantities called factors. A successful factor analysis is usually one in which different factors influence or load onto disjoint subsets of variables. The three estimation methods employed for this research work is compared with the following: The number of

underlying factors, the cumulative proportion of total (standardized) sample variance explained by the factors and the residual matrix.

### Principal Component Method (PC)

According to Johnson and Wichern (1992) and Morrison (1988), factor analysis try to describe the covariance relationship among many variables in terms of a few underlying common factors. Because the sample covariance matrix  $S$  is an unbiased estimator of the population covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , we usually do factor analysis on the sample covariance matrix  $S$  or the correlation matrix  $R$ . Johnson and Wichern (1992) says the principal component analysis of the sample covariance matrix  $S$  is specified in terms of its eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs  $(\lambda_1 e_1)$ ,  $(\lambda_2 e_2), \dots, (\lambda_p e_p)$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Let  $m < p$  be the number of common factors, the matrix of the estimated factor loadings  $\{l_{ij}\}$  is given by

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \ell_1 & \sqrt{\lambda_2} \ell_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\lambda_m} \ell_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The estimated specific variances are provided by the diagonal elements of the matrix  $S - LL'$ ,

$$\text{so, } \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 & 0 \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_2 \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \dots & \Psi_p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{with } \Psi_i = S_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^m l_{ij}^2 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Communalities are estimated as  $h_i^2 = l_{i1}^2 + l_{i2}^2 + \dots + l_{im}^2$

The principal component factor analysis of the sample correlation matrix is obtained by starting with sample correlation matrix  $R$  in place of sample covariance matrix  $S$ . Ideally; the contributions of the first few factors to the sample variances of the variable should be large. The contribution to the sample variance  $S_{ii}$  from the first common factor is  $l_{i1}^2$ . The contribution to the total sample variance,

$S_{11} + S_{22} + \dots + S_{pp} = \text{tr}(S)$  from the first common factor is then

$$l_{11}^2 + l_{21}^2 + \dots + l_{p1}^2 = \left( \sqrt{\lambda_1} \ell_1 \right)' \left( \sqrt{\lambda_1} \ell_1 \right) = \lambda_1.$$

Since the eigenvector  $\ell_1$  has unit length.

In general,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{for a factor analysis of } S \\ \text{Proportion of total} \\ \text{sample variance} \\ \text{due to } j_{\text{th}} \text{ factor.} \end{array} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\lambda_j}{S_{11} + S_{22} + \dots + S_{pp}} \\ \frac{\lambda_j}{P} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{for a factor analysis of } R$$

where

$\lambda_j$  is the eigenvalue for the  $j$ th factor

$P$  is the number of variables

### Maximum Likelihood Method (MLE)

A maximum likelihood estimator is a value of the parameter such that the likelihood function is maximum. According to Johnson and Wichern (1992), if the common factors  $F$  and the specific factors  $\varepsilon$  can be assumed that to be normally distributed, then the maximum likelihood estimates of the factor loadings and specific variances may be obtained. When  $F_j$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  are jointly normal, the observations

$X_j - \mu = L F_j + \varepsilon_j$  are then normal, and the likelihood is

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\Sigma|^{-n/2} e^{-(1/2) \text{tr} \left\{ \Sigma^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)(x_j - \mu)' + n(\bar{x} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu)' \right) \right\}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-(n-1)p/2} |\Sigma|^{-(n-1)/2} e^{-(1/2) \text{tr} \left( \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})' \right)} (2\pi)^{-p/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} e^{-(n/2) (\bar{x} - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{x} - \mu)}$$

Which depends on  $L$  and  $\Psi$  through  $\Sigma = LL' + \Psi$

It is desirable to make  $L$  well defined by imposing the computationally convenient uniqueness condition.

$L' \Psi^{-1} L = \Delta$  which is a diagonal matrix.

The maximum likelihood estimates of  $\hat{L}$  and  $\hat{\Psi}$  must be obtained by numerical maximization of  $L(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

The maximum likelihood estimates of the communalities are

$$\hat{h}_i^2 = \hat{l}_{i1}^2 + \hat{l}_{i2}^2 + \dots + \hat{l}_{im}^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

so

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Proportion of total sample} \\ \text{variance due to } j\text{th factor} \end{array} \right] = \frac{\hat{l}_{1j}^2 + \hat{l}_{2j}^2 + \dots + \hat{l}_{pj}^2}{S_{11} + S_{22} + \dots + S_{pp}}$$

whenever the maximum likelihood analysis pertains the correlation matrix, we call

$\hat{h}_i^2 = \hat{l}_{i1}^2 + \hat{l}_{i2}^2 + \dots + \hat{l}_{im}^2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , the maximum likelihood estimates of the communalities and evaluate the importance of factors on the basis of

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{Proportion of total sample} \\ \text{variance due to } j\text{th factor} \end{array} \right] = \frac{\hat{l}_{1j}^2 + \hat{l}_{2j}^2 + \dots + \hat{l}_{pj}^2}{p}$$

### Weighted or Generalized Least Square (GLS)

Bartlett (1937) has suggested that weighted least squares be used to estimate the common factor values using the factor model.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X - \mu & = & L & F & + \quad \varepsilon \\ (px1) & & (px1) & (mx1) & (px1) \end{array}$$

Where  $\mu$ ,  $L$  and  $\Psi$  are known and  $\varepsilon' = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_p)$  are regarded as errors and  $\text{var}(\varepsilon_i) = \Psi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  need not be equal.

Given that the sum of squared error weighted by the reciprocal of their variances is

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\varepsilon_{\cdot j}^2}{\Psi} = \varepsilon' \Psi^{-1} \varepsilon$$

$$= (x - \mu - LF)' \Psi^{-1} (x - \mu - LF)$$

Bartlett proposed choosing the estimates  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  to minimize

$$\hat{f} = (L' \Psi^{-1} L)^{-1} L' \Psi^{-1} (x - \mu)$$

From above  $f$ , we can take estimates  $\hat{L}$ ,  $\hat{\Psi}$  and  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$  as the true values and obtain the factor scores for the  $j$ th case as

$$\hat{f}_j = (\hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} \hat{L})^{-1} \hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x})$$

Since  $\hat{L}$  and  $\hat{\Psi}$  are maximum likelihood estimates, they must satisfy the uniqueness condition

$$\hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} \hat{L} = \hat{\Delta}, \text{ a diagonal matrix.}$$

The factor scores obtained by weighted least squares from the maximum likelihood estimates are

$$\hat{f}_j = (\hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} \hat{L})^{-1} \hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x}) = \hat{\Delta}^{-1} \hat{L}' \hat{\Psi}^{-1} (x_j - \bar{x}) \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

If the correlation matrix is factored

$$\hat{f}_j = (\hat{L}_z' \hat{\Psi}_z^{-1} \hat{L}_z)^{-1} \hat{L}_z' \hat{\Psi}_z^{-1} z_j = \hat{\Delta}_z^{-1} \hat{L}_z' \hat{\Psi}_z^{-1} z_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where

$$z_j = D^{-1/2} (x_j - \bar{x}) \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \hat{L}_z' \hat{L}_z + \hat{\Psi}_z$$

$$\text{For standardized data since } L = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \ell_1 & \sqrt{\lambda_2} \ell_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\lambda_m} \ell_m \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$F_j = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1} \ell_1} \hat{f}_1 (x_j - \bar{x}) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2} \ell_2} \hat{f}_2 (x_j - \bar{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m} \ell_m} \hat{f}_m (x_j - \bar{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

For these factor scores

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}_j = 0 \quad (\text{Sample mean})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}_j \hat{f}_j = 1 \quad (\text{Sample covariance})$$

**RESULT****The underlying factors**

Factor loadings matrix for the Principal Component Method

	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	F <sub>6</sub>
$L_P =$						
X <sub>1</sub>	0.686	0.397	0.456	-0.090	-0.075	-0.067
X <sub>2</sub>	0.302	0.503	-0.632	0.082	-0.008	-0.003
X <sub>3</sub>	-0.732	0.398	0.320	-0.036	0.137	0.034
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.079	0.093	0.142	0.077	0.826	0.040
X <sub>5</sub>	0.713	0.080	0.592	-0.035	-0.092	-0.020
X <sub>6</sub>	0.191	0.621	-0.430	0.070	-0.092	0.038
X <sub>7</sub>	0.026	0.011	-0.005	0.124	0.069	0.849
X <sub>8</sub>	0.049	0.528	-0.341	-0.164	0.116	-0.101
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.129	0.014	-0.007	-0.735	-0.158	-0.017
X <sub>10</sub>	0.437	0.108	0.052	-0.068	0.109	0.173
X <sub>11</sub>	-0.093	-0.349	0.062	0.417	-0.384	0.019
X <sub>12</sub>	0.002	0.014	0.025	0.369	0.176	-0.483
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.543	0.298	0.456	0.001	-0.182	0.027
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.520	0.350	0.309	0.064	-0.166	0.015
X <sub>15</sub>	0.745	0.369	0.349	-0.125	0.009	-0.034
X <sub>16</sub>	-0.568	0.365	0.465	0.095	0.063	-0.002
X <sub>17</sub>	0.603	0.221	0.168	0.405	-0.070	0.072
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.379	0.690	-0.244	0.151	-0.203	0.018

Factor loadings matrix for the Maximum Likelihood Method

	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	F <sub>6</sub>
$L_M =$						
X <sub>1</sub>	0.458	-0.082	-0.108	0.754	0.157	0.139
X <sub>2</sub>	0.239	-0.048	0.356	0.094	-0.540	0.373
X <sub>3</sub>	-0.267	0.248	0.502	-0.159	0.680	0.152
X <sub>4</sub>	0.145	0.989	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.000
X <sub>5</sub>	0.484	-0.075	-0.319	0.684	0.169	-0.219
X <sub>6</sub>	0.156	-0.019	0.441	0.202	-0.362	0.268
X <sub>7</sub>	0.042	-0.011	0.003	-0.021	0.002	0.013
X <sub>8</sub>	0.021	-0.007	0.274	0.118	-0.135	0.483
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.229	0.020	0.001	0.102	0.022	0.020
X <sub>10</sub>	0.220	-0.023	-0.085	0.237	-0.102	0.004
X <sub>11</sub>	0.005	-0.013	-0.039	-0.139	-0.049	-0.405
X <sub>12</sub>	0.017	0.006	0.010	0.001	0.000	-0.026
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.148	0.000	0.305	-0.072	0.617	-0.001
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.134	0.044	0.336	-0.080	0.436	-0.018
X <sub>15</sub>	0.444	-0.037	-0.138	0.750	0.020	0.178
X <sub>16</sub>	-0.121	0.193	0.421	-0.022	0.537	-0.145
X <sub>17</sub>	0.989	-0.143	0.007	-0.007	0.001	0.000
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.109	-0.018	0.970	0.103	-0.083	-0.050

## Factor loadings matrix for the Generalized Least square Factor Analysis

	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	F <sub>6</sub>
$L_G =$						
X <sub>1</sub>	-0.364	-0.152	0.253	0.746	0.216	0.171
X <sub>2</sub>	0.081	-0.088	0.437	0.076	-0.485	0.419
X <sub>3</sub>	0.444	0.283	0.163	-0.271	0.715	0.145
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.215	0.954	0.204	0.001	0.002	0.000
X <sub>5</sub>	-0.514	-0.147	0.123	0.717	0.194	-0.220
X <sub>6</sub>	0.196	-0.048	0.451	0.167	-0.305	0.354
X <sub>7</sub>	-0.030	-0.017	0.026	-0.022	0.001	0.011
X <sub>8</sub>	0.162	-0.013	0.213	0.076	-0.086	0.508
X <sub>9</sub>	0.172	0.055	-0.142	0.099	0.032	0.027
X <sub>10</sub>	-0.195	-0.056	0.103	0.252	-0.087	0.016
X <sub>11</sub>	-0.220	-0.013	-0.019	-0.113	-0.075	-0.404
X <sub>12</sub>	-0.006	0.003	0.020	0.002	0.000	-0.021
X <sub>13</sub>	0.269	0.021	0.083	-0.149	0.629	-0.014
X <sub>14</sub>	0.282	0.062	0.129	-0.148	0.454	-0.012
X <sub>15</sub>	-0.372	-0.105	0.234	0.745	0.075	0.198
X <sub>16</sub>	0.316	0.205	0.223	-0.102	0.559	-0.145
X <sub>17</sub>	-0.714	-0.295	0.634	-0.009	0.001	0.000
X <sub>18</sub>	0.740	-0.011	0.671	0.004	-0.003	-0.002

## The cumulative total (standardized) variance explained

Table 1.0: Six-factor solution for Principal Component Method

VARIABLE	ESTIMATED FACTOR LOADINGS						COMMUNALITIES h <sup>2</sup>	SPECIFIC VARIANCES $\Psi=1-h^2$
	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>4</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	F <sub>6</sub>		
X <sub>1</sub>	0.686	0.397	0.456	-0.090	-0.075	-0.067	0.854355	0.145645
X <sub>2</sub>	0.302	0.503	-0.632	0.082	-0.008	-0.003	0.750434	0.249566
X <sub>3</sub>	-0.732	0.398	0.320	-0.036	0.137	0.034	0.817849	0.182151
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.079	0.093	0.142	0.077	0.826	0.040	0.724859	0.275141
X <sub>5</sub>	0.713	0.080	0.592	-0.035	-0.092	-0.020	0.875322	0.124678
X <sub>6</sub>	0.191	0.621	-0.430	0.070	-0.092	0.038	0.62183	0.37817
X <sub>7</sub>	0.026	0.011	-0.005	0.124	0.069	0.849	0.74176	0.25824
X <sub>8</sub>	0.049	0.528	-0.341	-0.164	0.116	-0.101	0.448019	0.551981
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.129	0.014	-0.007	-0.735	-0.158	-0.017	0.582364	0.417636
X <sub>10</sub>	0.437	0.108	0.052	-0.068	0.109	0.173	0.251771	0.748229
X <sub>11</sub>	-0.093	-0.349	0.062	0.417	-0.384	0.019	0.456	0.544
X <sub>12</sub>	0.002	0.014	0.025	0.369	0.176	-0.483	0.401252	0.598749
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.543	0.298	0.456	0.001	-0.182	0.027	0.625443	0.374557
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.520	0.350	0.309	0.064	-0.166	0.015	0.520258	0.479742
X <sub>15</sub>	0.745	0.369	0.349	-0.125	0.009	-0.034	0.829849	0.170151
X <sub>16</sub>	-0.568	0.365	0.465	0.095	0.063	-0.002	0.685072	0.314928
X <sub>17</sub>	0.603	0.221	0.168	0.405	-0.070	0.072	0.614783	0.385217
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.379	0.690	-0.244	0.151	-0.203	0.018	0.743611	0.256389
Cumulative proportion of total (standardized) sample variance explained	0.21212	0.34479	0.46246	0.52585	0.58518	0.64138		

**Table 2.0 : Six-factor solution for Maximum Likelihood Method**

VARIABLE	ESTIMATED FACTOR LOADINGS						COMMUNALITIES $h^2$	SPECIFIC VARIANCES $\Psi=1-h^2$
	$F_1$	$F_2$	$F_3$	$F_4$	$F_5$	$F_6$		
X <sub>1</sub>	0.458	-0.082	-0.108	0.754	0.157	0.139	0.840638	0.159362
X <sub>2</sub>	0.239	-0.048	0.356	0.094	-0.540	0.373	0.625726	0.374274
X <sub>3</sub>	-0.267	0.248	0.502	-0.159	0.680	0.152	0.895582	0.104418
X <sub>4</sub>	0.145	0.989	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.99915	0.00085
X <sub>5</sub>	0.484	-0.075	-0.319	0.684	0.169	-0.219	0.88602	0.11398
X <sub>6</sub>	0.156	-0.019	0.441	0.202	-0.362	0.268	0.46285	0.53715
X <sub>7</sub>	0.042	-0.011	0.003	-0.021	0.002	0.013	0.002508	0.997492
X <sub>8</sub>	0.021	-0.007	0.274	0.118	-0.135	0.483	0.341004	0.658996
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.229	0.020	0.001	0.102	0.022	0.020	0.06413	0.93587
X <sub>10</sub>	0.220	-0.023	-0.085	0.237	-0.102	0.004	0.122743	0.877257
X <sub>11</sub>	0.005	-0.013	-0.039	-0.139	-0.049	-0.405	0.187462	0.812538
X <sub>12</sub>	0.017	0.006	0.010	0.001	0.000	-0.026	0.001102	0.998898
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.148	0.000	0.305	-0.072	0.617	-0.001	0.500803	0.499197
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.134	0.044	0.336	-0.080	0.436	-0.018	0.329608	0.670392
X <sub>15</sub>	0.444	-0.037	-0.138	0.750	0.020	0.178	0.812133	0.187867
X <sub>16</sub>	-0.121	0.193	0.421	-0.022	0.537	-0.145	0.539009	0.460991
X <sub>17</sub>	0.989	-0.143	0.007	-0.007	0.001	0.000	0.998669	0.001331
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.109	-0.018	0.970	0.103	-0.083	-0.050	0.973103	0.026897
Cumulative proportion of total (standardized) sample variance explained	0.10904	0.17111	0.28854	0.38837	0.49038	0.53235		

**Table 3.0 : Six-factor solution for Generalized Least Squares Method**

VARIABLE	ESTIMATED FACTOR LOADINGS						COMMUNALITIES $h^2$	SPECIFIC VARIANCES $\Psi=1-h^2$
	$F_1$	$F_2$	$F_3$	$F_4$	$F_5$	$F_6$		
X <sub>1</sub>	-0.364	-0.152	0.253	0.746	0.216	0.171	0.852022	0.147978
X <sub>2</sub>	0.081	-0.088	0.437	0.076	-0.485	0.419	0.621836	0.378164
X <sub>3</sub>	0.444	0.283	0.163	-0.271	0.715	0.145	0.909485	0.090515
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.215	0.954	0.204	0.001	-0.002	0.000	0.997962	0.002038
X <sub>5</sub>	-0.514	-0.147	0.123	0.717	0.194	-0.220	0.901059	0.098941
X <sub>6</sub>	0.196	-0.048	0.451	0.167	-0.305	0.354	0.490351	0.509649
X <sub>7</sub>	-0.030	-0.017	0.026	-0.022	0.001	0.011	0.002471	0.997529
X <sub>8</sub>	0.162	-0.013	0.213	0.076	-0.086	0.508	0.343018	0.656982
X <sub>9</sub>	0.172	0.055	-0.142	0.099	0.032	0.027	0.064327	0.935673
X <sub>10</sub>	-0.195	-0.056	0.103	0.252	-0.087	0.016	0.123099	0.876901
X <sub>11</sub>	-0.020	-0.013	-0.019	-0.113	-0.075	-0.404	0.18254	0.81746
X <sub>12</sub>	-0.006	0.003	0.020	0.002	0.000	-0.021	0.00089	0.99911
X <sub>13</sub>	0.269	0.021	0.083	-0.149	0.629	-0.014	0.497729	0.502271
X <sub>14</sub>	0.282	0.062	0.129	-0.148	0.454	-0.012	0.328173	0.671827
X <sub>15</sub>	-0.372	-0.105	0.234	0.745	0.075	0.198	0.804019	0.195981
X <sub>16</sub>	0.316	0.205	0.223	-0.102	0.559	-0.145	0.53552	0.46448
X <sub>17</sub>	-0.714	-0.295	0.634	-0.009	0.001	0.000	0.998859	0.001141
X <sub>18</sub>	0.740	-0.011	0.671	0.004	-0.003	-0.002	0.997991	0.002009
Cumulative proportion of total (standardized) sample variance explained	0.12376	0.19022	0.27908	0.38351	0.48713	0.53619		



**Table 4.0 Percentage of Variance Explained**

<b>Factors</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>PC</b>	21.208	13.262	11.767	6.332	5.928	5.622
<b>MLE</b>	10.908	6.208	11.742	9.985	10.204	4.197
<b>GLS</b>	12.374	6.651	8.892	10.444	10.364	4.904

## CONCLUSION

We compared three estimation methods in Factor Analysis on stock returns; these stocks were eighteen quoted companies/industries selected from the present eighteen sectors of the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The monthly rates of return for these stocks were calculated for a period of thirteen (13) years ranging from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2012. Three estimation methods employed in Factor Analysis namely; Principal Component, Maximum-Likelihood and Generalized Least Squares were applied to the standardized or normalized data and six underlying or hidden factors were recovered in each method.

The cumulative proportion of the total sample variance explained by one, two, three, four, five and six factor solutions and the percentage of variance explained for the three estimation methods as shown in tables 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 and 4.0. Clearly, the percentage of variance explained is smallest for the maximum-likelihood method compared to the Principal Component and Generalized Least Squares methods.

Comparing the values of the residual matrices for the three estimation methods, the residual matrix that contains smallest positive value is considered to be the best method of estimation. Clearly, the Maximum-Likelihood method contains smallest positive value than those of the residual matrices corresponding to the Principal Component and Generalized Least Squares methods. On this basis, we prefer the Maximum-Likelihood approach of the Factor Analysis which implies that the Maximum-Likelihood approach is more efficient, consistent and reliable.

## REFERENCE

- Bartlett, M.S (1937): The Statistical Concept of Mental Factors. *British Journal of Psychology*, 28, 97-104.
- Bartlett, B. (2000) : Opinion Editorial on the Effect of Stock market on the Economy, National Centre for Policy Analysis, February, 2000.
- Bartholomew, D. J. (1984): The foundations of factor analysis. *Biometrika*, 71(2), 221- 232.
- Cureton, E. E. & d'Agostino, R. B. (1983): *Factor analysis: An applied approach*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- De Bondt, W.F.M and Thaler, R.H (1995) : Financial Decision – Making in Markets and Firms; A Behavioral Perspective Handbooks in Operation Research and Management Science, 9(13), 385-410.
- Diana Mindrila (2010) : Maximum Likelihood and Diagonally Weighted Least Squares Estimation Bias with Ordinal and Multivariate Non-Normal Data, University of South Caroling, United State of American, *International Journal of Digital Society (IIDS)*, Volume 1, issue 1, March 2000.
- Johnson, R.A and Wichern, D.W (1992) : *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, Third Edition, Prentice-Hall, Inc. Eaglewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Morrison, D.F (1988): *Multivariate Statistical Methods*, Second Edition, Mc Gram-Hill Book Company, New York.

- Olisah, K.S (2006): Comparing Two Estimation Methods in Factor Analysis using Data from Nigerian Stock Exchange.
- Stevens, J. P. (2002). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Tucker, L. & MacCallum, R. (1997): *Exploratory factor analysis: A book manuscript*. Retrieved August 20, 2008, from <http://www.unc.edu/~rcm/book/factornew.htm>

## APPENDIX: Residual matrix for principal component method

	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	X <sub>4</sub>	X <sub>5</sub>	X <sub>6</sub>	X <sub>7</sub>	X <sub>8</sub>	X <sub>9</sub>	X <sub>10</sub>	X <sub>11</sub>	X <sub>12</sub>	X <sub>13</sub>	X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>15</sub>	X <sub>16</sub>	X <sub>17</sub>	X <sub>18</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0	-0.00609	0.020539	0.009081	-0.02722	0.007463	0.051295	0.012439	-0.01501	-0.11072	0.040082	0.019719	-0.03249	-0.03082	-0.01356	-0.01516	-0.08298	0.000899
X <sub>2</sub>	-0.00609	0	0.00226	0.055237	0.028652	-0.12517	-0.01661	-0.06382	0.065447	-0.03647	0.059608	-0.03015	0.062827	0.002747	-0.00881	0.036529	0.023353	-0.04577
X <sub>3</sub>	0.020539	0.00226	0	-0.04603	-0.02434	-0.02791	-0.0216	0.005482	-0.031	0.040997	0.01296	-0.01551	-0.00995	-0.07428	0.005221	-0.08699	0.0274	-0.01033
X <sub>4</sub>	0.009081	0.055237	-0.04603	0	0.04031	0.092478	-0.10276	-0.07996	0.164284	-0.06462	0.288621	-0.15116	-0.00919	0.03808	0.006531	-0.03112	0.027983	0.062868
X <sub>5</sub>	-0.02722	0.028652	-0.02434	0.04031	0	0.000443	0.01321	-0.00039	0.0214	-0.0349	0.034172	0.006101	-0.0258	0.0031	-0.03354	0.006585	-0.0559	0.053444
X <sub>6</sub>	0.007463	-0.12517	-0.02791	0.092478	0.000443	0	-0.03654	-0.23289	0.062495	0.019039	0.024912	0.01739	-0.0061	0.038518	-0.0195	-0.02001	-0.0597	-0.04195
X <sub>7</sub>	0.051295	-0.01661	-0.0216	-0.10276	0.01321	-0.03654	0	0.084294	0.10464	-0.13326	-0.04778	0.346086	-0.00337	-0.014	0.036061	-0.00635	-0.08079	-0.02296
X <sub>8</sub>	0.012439	-0.06382	0.005482	-0.07996	-0.00039	-0.23289	0.084294	0	-0.10139	-0.08403	0.119822	-0.01665	0.036762	-0.00568	0.022694	-0.01025	0.014865	-0.11582
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.01501	0.065447	-0.031	0.164284	0.0214	0.062495	0.10464	-0.10139	0	0.000408	0.228469	0.237049	-0.04859	-0.00175	-0.03865	0.051618	0.133708	0.049958
X <sub>10</sub>	-0.11072	-0.03647	0.040997	-0.06462	-0.0349	0.019039	-0.13326	-0.08403	0.000408	0	0.047034	0.072781	0.03763	0.031223	-0.10116	0.025555	-0.0544	0.059072
X <sub>11</sub>	0.040082	0.059608	0.01296	0.288621	0.034172	0.024912	-0.04778	0.119822	0.228469	0.047034	0	-0.07659	-0.04959	-0.03809	0.053655	0.026346	-0.06734	0.05243
X <sub>12</sub>	0.019719	-0.03015	-0.01551	-0.15116	0.006101	0.01739	0.346086	-0.01665	0.237049	0.072781	-0.07659	0	0.021218	-0.00374	0.001738	-0.01771	-0.09485	-0.0051
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.03249	0.062827	-0.00995	-0.00919	-0.0258	-0.0061	-0.00337	0.036762	-0.04859	0.03763	-0.04959	0.021218	0	-0.15825	-0.01589	-0.12381	0.026874	-0.08574
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.03082	0.002747	-0.07428	0.03808	0.0031	0.038518	-0.014	-0.00568	-0.00175	0.031223	-0.03809	-0.00374	-0.15825	0	-0.01059	-0.11539	0.009678	-0.11382
X <sub>15</sub>	-0.01356	-0.00881	0.005221	0.006531	-0.03354	-0.0195	0.036061	0.022694	-0.03865	-0.10116	0.053655	0.001738	-0.01589	-0.01059	0	-0.01657	-0.09671	0.019215
X <sub>16</sub>	-0.01516	0.036529	-0.08699	-0.03112	0.006585	-0.02001	-0.00635	-0.01025	0.051618	0.025555	0.026346	-0.01771	-0.12381	-0.11539	-0.01657	0	0.006798	0.023818
X <sub>17</sub>	-0.08298	0.023353	0.0274	0.027983	-0.0559	-0.0597	-0.08079	0.014865	0.133708	-0.0544	-0.06734	-0.09485	0.026874	0.009678	-0.09671	0.006798	0	-0.05862
X <sub>18</sub>	0.000899	-0.04577	-0.01033	0.062868	0.053444	-0.04195	-0.02296	-0.11582	0.049958	0.059072	0.05243	-0.0051	-0.08574	-0.11382	0.019215	0.023818	-0.05862	0

## Residual matrix for maximum likelihood method

	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	X <sub>4</sub>	X <sub>5</sub>	X <sub>6</sub>	X <sub>7</sub>	X <sub>8</sub>	X <sub>9</sub>	X <sub>10</sub>	X <sub>11</sub>	X <sub>12</sub>	X <sub>13</sub>	X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>15</sub>	X <sub>16</sub>	X <sub>17</sub>	X <sub>18</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0	-0.00689	0.001836	0.000002	0.000898	0.028896	-0.0081	-0.00251	0.001488	-0.03307	0.017226	0.020646	-0.00872	-0.00636	0.001328	0.008146	0.000189	-0.00047
X <sub>2</sub>	-0.00689	0	-0.00254	-0.00026	0.010939	0.013376	-0.00643	0.05095	0.029167	0.007726	0.003736	-0.00573	0.029113	-0.0028	-0.01386	-0.00356	-0.00053	-0.00099
X <sub>3</sub>	0.001836	-0.00254	0	0.000803	-0.00191	-0.00948	0.001761	-0.00406	0.002613	0.017549	-0.00808	-0.00686	0.004518	-0.00183	-0.00141	-0.01013	0.00022	-0.00016
X <sub>4</sub>	0.000002	-0.00026	0.000803	0	0.000333	0.000447	0.000793	-0.00039	0.000469	0.000643	0.000034	-0.0004	-0.00031	0.000786	0.000253	0.000742	-0.00098	0.000441
X <sub>5</sub>	0.000898	0.010939	-0.00191	0.000333	0	-0.01755	-0.00132	-0.0034	0.010549	0.025686	-0.00417	-0.00697	0.010683	0.008434	-0.00109	-0.00312	-0.00055	0.000461
X <sub>6</sub>	0.028896	0.013376	-0.00948	0.000447	-0.01755	0	0.005398	-0.10139	0.029663	0.055858	-0.03595	0.006818	0.023749	0.09638	-0.01007	-0.02642	-0.00031	0.00034
X <sub>7</sub>	-0.0081	-0.00643	0.001761	0.000793	-0.00132	0.005398	0	-0.01031	-0.00333	0.020891	-0.01079	-0.00632	-0.00343	-0.01321	0.008755	0.001291	-0.00028	0.000449
X <sub>8</sub>	-0.00251	0.05095	-0.00406	-0.00039	-0.0034	-0.10139	-0.01031	0	-0.00805	-0.04216	0.010892	0.000385	-0.00019	0.005052	0.016455	0.021664	-0.00073	0.000172
X <sub>9</sub>	0.001488	0.029167	0.002613	0.000469	0.010549	0.029663	-0.00333	-0.00805	0	0.003915	0.0138	-0.04982	0.009593	0.014026	-0.01895	0.00834	0.000028	-0.00225
X <sub>10</sub>	-0.03307	0.007726	0.017549	0.000643	0.025686	0.055858	0.020891	-0.04216	0.003915	0	-0.07015	-0.01589	-0.02051	-0.03944	-0.00068	-0.03159	0.000487	-0.00066
X <sub>11</sub>	0.017226	0.003736	-0.00808	0.000034	-0.00417	-0.03595	-0.01079	0.010892	0.0138	-0.07015	0	-0.01301	0.028455	0.0153	-0.00976	-0.01994	-0.00045	0.001141
X <sub>12</sub>	0.020646	-0.00573	-0.00686	-0.0004	-0.00697	0.006818	-0.00632	0.000385	-0.04982	-0.01589	-0.01301	0	-0.00949	-0.00673	-0.01307	0.037941	-0.000018	-0.00014
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.00872	0.029113	0.004518	-0.00031	0.010683	0.023749	-0.00343	-0.00019	0.009593	-0.02051	0.028455	-0.00949	0	0.002898	-0.00436	0.014629	0.000116	-0.0014
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.00636	-0.0028	-0.00183	0.000786	0.008434	0.09638	-0.01321	0.005052	0.014026	-0.03944	0.0153	-0.00673	0.002898	0	-0.00902	0.042336	-0.00053	-0.00321
X <sub>15</sub>	0.001328	-0.01386	-0.00141	0.000253	-0.00109	-0.01007	0.008755	0.016455	-0.01895	-0.00068	-0.00976	-0.01307	-0.00436	-0.00902	0	-0.00347	0.000789	-0.0001
X <sub>16</sub>	0.008146	-0.00356	-0.01013	0.000742	-0.00312	-0.02642	0.001291	0.021664	0.00834	-0.03159	-0.01994	0.037941	0.014629	0.042336	-0.00347	0	0.00063	0.000502
X <sub>17</sub>	0.000189	-0.00053	0.00022	-0.00098	-0.00055	-0.00031	-0.00028	-0.00073	0.000026	0.000487	-0.00045	-0.000018	0.000116	-0.00053	0.000789	0.00063	0	0.000241
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.00047	-0.00099	-0.00016	0.000441	0.000461	0.00044	0.000449	0.000174	-0.00225	-0.00066	0.001141	-0.00014	-0.0014	-0.00321	-0.0001	0.000502	0.000241	0

## Residual matrix for generalized least squares method

	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	X <sub>4</sub>	X <sub>5</sub>	X <sub>6</sub>	X <sub>7</sub>	X <sub>8</sub>	X <sub>9</sub>	X <sub>10</sub>	X <sub>11</sub>	X <sub>12</sub>	X <sub>13</sub>	X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>15</sub>	X <sub>16</sub>	X <sub>17</sub>	X <sub>18</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0	-0.01204	-0.00068	-0.00104	-0.00473	0.017709	-0.00777	-0.00889	-0.00049	-0.03549	-0.05167	0.019311	-0.00921	-0.00817	-0.0004	0.008908	0.00036	-0.000069
X <sub>2</sub>	-0.01204	0	-0.00068	0.001113	0.014725	-0.00313	-0.00588	0.050315	0.028645	0.007805	0.020468	-0.00734	0.028043	-0.00429	-0.01357	-0.00339	-0.000015	-0.000056
X <sub>3</sub>	-0.00068	-0.00068	0	-0.00293	0.000265	-0.00695	0.001621	-0.00554	0.002247	0.017816	0.081038	-0.00686	0.001008	-0.00676	-0.0007	-0.01297	0.000005	-0.0003
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.00104	0.001113	-0.00293	0	-0.00047	0.001371	0.000484	-0.00012	0.000315	0.000409	-0.04276	-0.00023	-0.00324	-0.00159	-0.00044	-0.00114	-0.00041	-0.00029
X <sub>5</sub>	-0.00473	0.014725	0.000265	-0.00047	0	-0.00747	-0.00112	0.00111	0.009708	0.023583	-0.10896	-0.00716	0.009871	0.009595	-0.00258	-0.00508	-0.000084	0.000484
X <sub>6</sub>	0.017709	-0.00313	-0.00695	0.001371	-0.00747	0	0.005423	-0.12219	0.028639	0.053796	0.019077	0.0064	0.029535	0.100959	-0.01829	-0.01481	-0.00034	-0.000064
X <sub>7</sub>	-0.00777	-0.00588	0.001621	0.000484	-0.00112	0.005423	0	-0.00973	-0.00336	0.020975	-0.01729	-0.00637	-0.00348	-0.01342	0.009108	0.000959	-0.00012	0.00068
X <sub>8</sub>	-0.00889	0.050315	-0.00554	-0.00012	0.00111	-0.12219	-0.00973	0	-0.00939	-0.04284	0.041888	-0.00173	-0.00045	0.001033	0.018303	0.02146	-0.00044	0.000508
X <sub>9</sub>	-0.00049	0.028645	0.002247	0.000315	0.009708	0.028639	-0.00336	-0.00939	0	0.00365	0.049352	-0.04992	0.009364	0.013852	-0.01951	0.009164	-0.00008	-0.00064
X <sub>10</sub>	-0.03549	0.007805	0.017816	0.000409	0.023583	0.053796	0.020975	-0.04284	0.00365	0	-0.10826	-0.01623	-0.02142	-0.03984	-0.00091	-0.03221	0.000303	-0.00067
X <sub>11</sub>	-0.05167	0.020468	0.081038	-0.04276	-0.10896	0.019077	-0.01729	0.041888	0.049352	-0.10826	0	-0.01216	0.081712	0.075775	-0.08796	0.044241	-0.14281	0.147825
X <sub>12</sub>	0.019311	-0.00734	-0.00686	-0.00023	-0.00716	0.0064	-0.00637	-0.00173	-0.04992	-0.01623	-0.01216	0	-0.00911	-0.00603	-0.01493	0.03898	-0.000061	0.000003
X <sub>13</sub>	-0.00921	0.028043	0.001008	-0.00324	0.009871	0.029535	-0.00348	-0.00045	0.009364	-0.02142	0.081712	-0.00911	0	0.004347	-0.00455	0.017343	0.000669	-0.000067
X <sub>14</sub>	-0.00817	-0.00429	-0.00676	-0.00159	0.009595	0.100959	-0.01342	0.001033	0.013852	-0.03984	0.075775	-0.00603	0.004347	0	-0.01119	0.045789	0.000066	0.000373
X <sub>15</sub>	-0.0004	-0.01357	-0.0007	-0.00044	-0.00258	-0.01829	0.009108	0.018303	-0.01951	-0.00091	-0.08796	-0.01493	-0.00455	-0.01119	0	-0.00433	0.000691	-0.00025
X <sub>16</sub>	0.008908	-0.00339	-0.01297	-0.00114	-0.00508	-0.01481	0.000959	0.02146	0.009164	-0.03221	0.044241	0.03898	0.017343	0.045789	-0.00433	0	0.00024	-0.00042
X <sub>17</sub>	0.00036	-0.000015	0.000005	-0.00041	-0.000084	-0.00034	-0.00012	-0.00044	-0.00008	0.000303	-0.14281	-0.000061	0.000669	0.000066	0.000691	0.00024	0	0.00074
X <sub>18</sub>	-0.000069	-0.000056	-0.0003	-0.00029	0.000484	-0.000064	0.00068	0.000508	-0.00064	-0.00067	0.147825	0.000003	-0.000067	0.000373	-0.00025	-0.00042	0.00074	0